Amdt. Dated December 9, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated October 6, 2008

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the

application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Previously Presented) A method for providing proof of mailing one or more mail

pieces by a mailer, that are submitted by a mailer to a post office in a bundle or in a

tray, the method comprises the steps of:

(a) placing an identification code on individual mail pieces with a postage

meter at a location other than the post office, wherein the identification

code identifies a sender of the mail piece a service requested for the mail

piece and uniquely identifies individual mail pieces;

(b) placing the identification codes of the mail pieces in a manifest:

(c) transmitting the identification codes to a data center;

(d) depositing one or more mail pieces and the manifest with the post office at

the post office or at a location other than the post office;

(e) attempting reading by the post office at a location other than the post

office or at the post office the identification codes in the manifest;

(f) attempting reading by the post office at a location other than the post

office or at the post office the identification code that is on one more mail

pieces;

(10093441.1)Page 2 of 16

Amdt. Dated December 9, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated October 6, 2008

(g) retrieving the identification codes from the data center and the

identification codes read by the post office;

(h) notifying the postage meter that individual identification codes have been

received by the data center and individual mail pieces identification codes

have been read or not read by the post office; and

(i) printing at the postage meter a certificate indicating the identification code

that has been read by the post office and the service requested for the

mail piece.

2. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the postage meter is an electronic

postage meter.

3. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the postage meter is a computer

postage meter with a secure storage device.

4. (Cancelled)

5. (Previously Presented) The method claimed in claim 1, further including the step of:

printing on the certificate the date the mail piece was read.

6. (Original) The method claimed in claim 5, further including the step of:

printing on the certificate the time the mail piece was read.

(10093441.1)Page 3 of 16

Amdt. Dated December 9, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated October 6, 2008

7. (Previously Presented) The method claimed in claim 1, further including the step of:

printing at the postage meter a certificate indicating that the identification code

and the service request has not been read by the post office after a certain period of

time has elapsed after the data center has received the identification code from the

meter.

8. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the identification code is a unique

number.

9. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the identification code comprises:

the serial number of the postage meter, and the date and time that the

identification code was affixed to the mail piece.

10. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, further including the steps of:

(a) printing a postal indicia on the mail piece for the payment of postage and

any related postal fees; and

(b) charging the postage meter for printing the postal indicia.

11. (Original) The method claimed in claim 10, further including the step of:

refunding the postage meter account for part or all of the postage and fees that

have been placed on mail pieces having identification codes that have not been read by

(10093441.1)Page 4 of 16

Amdt. Dated December 9, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated October 6, 2008

the post office after a certain period of time has elapsed after the data center has received the identification code from the meter.

12. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, further including the step of:

notifying the mailer via telephone that individual identification codes have been received by the data center, and individual mail pieces' identification codes have been read or not read by the post office.

13. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, further including the step of:

notifying the mailer via e-mail that individual identification codes have been received by the data center, and individual mail pieces' identification codes have been read or not read by the post office.

14. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, further including the step of:

notifying the mailer via facsimile that individual identification codes have been received by the data center, and individual mail pieces' identification codes have been read or not read by the post office.

15. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, further including the steps of:

identifying the mailer's reference number of the document contained in the mail piece.

16. (Original) The method claimed in claim 15, further including:

Amdt. Dated December 9, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated October 6, 2008

(a) printing at the postage meter a certificate indicating that the identification

code has not been read by the post office after a certain period of time has elapsed

after the data center has received the identification code from the meter; and

(b) printing the mailer's reference number on the certificate of induction.

17. (Original) The method claimed in claim 16, further including the step of:

printing the mailer's name on the certificate of induction.

18. (Original) The method claimed in claim 15, further including the step of:

(a) printing at the postage meter a certificate indicating that the identification

code has been read by the post office; and

(b) printing the mailer's reference number on the certificate of induction.

19. (Original) The method claimed in claim 18, further including the step of:

printing the mailer's name on the certificate of induction.

20. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the identification code

comprises: a United States Special Service Tracking Number.

21. (Original) The method claimed in claim 1, further including the step of:

printing at the postage meter a certificate indicating the identification codes from

the manifest that has been read by the post office.

(10093441.1)Page 6 of 16

Amdt. Dated December 9, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated October 6, 2008

22. (Original) The method claimed in claim 21, further including the step of: printing at the postage meter the date the manifest has been read by the post office.

- 23. (Original) The method claimed in claim 21, further including the step of: printing at the postage meter the time the manifest has been read by the post office.
- (Previously Presented) The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the service requested is certified mail.